Statutory report on Corporate governance

cf. section 107 b of the Danish Financial Statements Act

William Demant Holding 2016 Statutory report, cf. section 107 b of the Danish Financial Statements Act

William Demant Holding A/S is a Danish Company listed on Nasdaq Copenhagen and included in the C20 Cap.

Pursuant to the Danish Financial Statements Act section 107 b, and section 4.3 of "Rules for issuers of shares" laid down by Nasdaq Copenhagen, Danish companies listed on Nasdaq Copenhagen are covered by a code on corporate governance and shall give a statement on how they address the recommendations issued by the Committee on Corporate Governance.

This statutory report on corporate governance published on the Company's website is part of Management's commentary in the annual report and covers the financial year 1 January - 31 December 2016. Our corporate governance report is not comprised by the auditors' statement in connection with Management's Commentary in the annual report.

The report covers the following areas:

- 1. A description of the Company's management structure
- 2. A description of the Company's internal control and risk management systems in connection with the financial reporting process
- 3. A review of how the Company addresses the corporate governance recommendations

1. Management structure

William Demant Holding has a two-tier management structure consisting of a Board of Directors and an Executive Board.

The annual general meeting is the supreme authority in all company matters. The Company has one ultimate shareholder, the Oticon Foundation, which directly or indirectly holds between 55-60% of the shares and voting rights at the annual general meeting.

Board of Directors

Under the Company's Articles of Association, the Board of Directors must consist of four to six Board members elected by the shareholders at the general meeting in addition to staff-elected Board members. At publication of the Annual Report 2016, the Company's Board of Directors has eight members: Five members elected by the shareholders and three members elected by our employees in Denmark. The members elected by the shareholders are elected for one year at a time and members elected by staff for four years at a time. After each annual general meeting, the Board of Directors appoints a chairman and a deputy chairman among its members.

The Board of Directors is responsible for the overall strategic management as well as the financial and managerial supervision of the Company, and it evaluates the work and performance of the Executive Board. The Board of Directors' duties and responsibilities are set out in its rules of procedure.

Board committees

For the present, the Board of Directors of William Demant Holding has established an audit committee and a nomination committee.

Executive Board

The Company's Executive Board presently consists of President & CEO Niels Jacobsen; Chief Operating Officer Søren Nielsen and Chief Financial Officer René Schneider.

The Executive Board has the overall responsibility for the activities and the day-to-day operations of the Company. The tasks, responsibilities and scope of the Executive Board as well as the guidelines in respect of the delegation of duties between the Board of Directors and the Executive Board are stipulated in the Instructions for the Executive Board.

2. The Company's internal control and risk management systems

The Board of Directors and the Executive Board are in an ongoing dialogue on the identification, description and handling of the business risks to which the Company may be exposed. Any material risks are described once a year in the Annual Plan and Budget which is approved by the Board of Directors.

In the chapter on risk management activities in our annual report, we provide a review of the main elements of our internal control and risk management systems in connection with the financial reporting process. The text below shows the relevant section of the William Demant Holding Annual Report 2016:

Financial reporting process and internal control

Once a year, we carry through a very detailed planning and budgetary process, and any deviations from the plans and budgets resulting from this process are carefully monitored month by month. In terms of sales and costs, month-by-month development is very similar, so due to the repetitive nature of our business, deviations will normally become visible fairly quickly. To ensure high quality in the Group's financial reporting systems, the Board of Directors and Executive Board have adopted policies, procedures and guidelines for financial reporting and internal control to which the subsidiaries and reporting units must adhere, including:

- Continuous follow-up on results achieved compared to the approved budgets
- Policies for IT, insurance, cash management, procurement etc.
- Reporting instructions as well as reporting and finance manuals

The responsibility for maintaining sufficient and efficient internal control and risk management in connection with financial reporting lies with the Executive Board. The Board of Directors has assessed the Group's existing control environment and concluded that it is adequate and that there is no need for setting up an internal audit function.

3. Reporting on Corporate Governance

William Demant Holding A/S is subject to the rules governing the recommendations for corporate governance and is required to give a yearly statement on how we adhere to the recommendations laid down by the Committee on Corporate Governance, www.corporategovernance.dk. The recommendations are also part of the disclosure requirements laid down by Nasdaq Copenhagen.

William Demant Holding's Board of Directors and Executive Board consider corporate governance an ongoing process and regularly assess to which extent the Company should comply with the recommendations and whether the recommendations give rise to amendments to the Company's articles of association or managerial processes.

The report has to be prepared according to the "comply-or-explain" principle, meaning that we must either follow the recommendations or explain why we have chosen not to follow a recommendation and what we do instead. The Board of Directors takes a stand on each individual recommendation and has chosen to provide relevant and supplementary explanations also to the recommendations that we follow. The few cases where we have chosen to deviate from a recommendation are well-founded, and we explain what we do instead. To further increase transparency we have decided to provide supplementary and relevant information, even when we follow the recommendations. The assessment for 2016 is based on the most recent recommendations from May 2013. Out of the 47 recommendations there are only four that we do not comply with. The deviations are well-founded and are explained in the report.

The complete report is provided in the schedule below.

23 February 2017

	Recommendation	Compli- ance	Explanation and comment
1. Comm	nunication and interaction by the com		its investors and other stakeholders
	ogue between company, shareholders and		
1.1.1	The Committee recommends that the board of directors ensure ongoing dialogue between the company and its shareholders in order for the shareholders to gain relevant insight into the company's potential and policies, and in order for the board of directors to be aware of the shareholders' views, interests and opinions on the company.	We comply	William Demant Holding wants to maintain a high level of information to all existing and potential shareholders and communicates with its shareholders and investors at the annual general meeting and through shareholder meetings, investor presentations, direct contact, website, webcasts, capital market days, the annual report and company announcements etc.
			We have set up an Investor Relations function (IR) in the Company, and Management also frequently participates in IR-related arrangements. By way of example, we typically hold about 400 individual meetings per year where existing and potential shareholders are able to ask questions to Company representatives. Often meetings are held at one of our locations where stakeholders can get a deeper insight into how we work.
			IR work is carried out in an open dialogue, and all stakeholders are able to get a response to their questions from a member of Company Management, provided such response can be given without coming into conflict with Danish law, including the rules governing Nasdaq Copenhagen.
			The primary form of communication is Company announcements to Nasdaq Copenhagen, including annual and interim reports. Immediately after publication, they are uploaded on our website, www.demant.com .
			All registered shareholders are offered the possibility to receive an e-mail upon the Company's publication of the annual report, interim report and other company announcements. Investor presentations will be available on our website at the same time as or immediately after such presentation is/has been made.
			On an ongoing basis, Management gives feedback to the Board of Directors on the Company's IR work to enable the Board to get an insight into the dialogue with our shareholders.
			Management and the IR function may be contacted through our website.
1.1.2.	The Committee recommends that the board of directors adopt policies on the company's relationship with its stakehold-	We comply	The Company has identified a number of specific stakeholders, comprising our customers, end-users, shareholders, investors, employees, society, suppliers and other business partners.

ers, including shareholders and other investors, and that the board ensures that the interests of the shareholders are respected in accordance with company policies.

The Board of Directors has approved the Company's policies on relations with all important stakeholders and ensures on a current basis that good and constructive relations exist with such stakeholders. Some of these policies include a Code of Conduct governing relations with our suppliers and a Business Ethics Policy in relation to our staff and their interaction with customers and other stakeholders. Selected policies and documents are available on our website.

As part of its CSR work, the Company has adopted policies on its corporate social responsibility. In 2009, we stated 14 principles, describing Management's overall responsibility for and approach to business ethics, the environment, human rights and corporate governance. In 2010, the Company furthermore joined the UN's Global Compact and the reporting system for CO2 emission through the Carbon Disclosure Project. In 2016, we updated our reporting structure and framework in order to adhere to new regulatory changes. We meet the new requirements by elaborating on the company business model and by giving explanations of our policies, processes, actions and results. You can read more on our website under "Responsibility".

The Company is more than 110 years old and has a solid foundation for our activities and our interaction with stakeholders. Since its foundation, the Company has been committed to disseminating knowledge of and remedial treatment of hearing impairment. The Company's managerial policy builds on high standards of integrity, quality and fairness in its business conduct, including responsibility for the environment and the surrounding society.

The Company strives to sell its products in the most professional manner, as a result of which we have invested heavily in the distribution of Group products. Products are becoming better and better, but also more and more sofisticated, as a result of which training and education play an increasingly important role. We arrange targeted training and educational sessions when new products are introduced to enable the Company's customers to provide end-users with the best advice and service.

Through relevant industry and user organisations, William Demant Holding also contributes funds, resources and know-how to disseminate knowledge of hearing impairments and of their remedial treatment.

			It is the Company's objective to safeguard a high and consistent level of information to stock market players with a view to forming the basis of a fair pricing of the Company's shares. This flow of information will contribute to reducing the Company-specific risk associated with investing in Company shares and thus reducing the Company's cost of capital.
			In 2016, our corporate website was relaunched with a new design and structure. The site offers new services and insights into the Group's vision, strategy and business activities as well as the hearing healthcare area and market.
1.1.3.	The Committee recommends that the company publish quarterly reports	We do not comply	For several years, listed companies in Denmark have been subject to rules, requiring them to publish quarterly reports or quarterly statements. We do not believe that quarterly reports will promote a better understanding of our activities, so for the past many years, we have published quarterly interim management statements without actual figures, but with updates on the Group and its financial position and results in relation to the full-year guidance, including important events and transactions in the period under review. Following a change to the Danish Securities Trading Act in November 2015, it is no longer a statutory requirement to publish quarterly reports or quarterly statements. However, we still believe that interim management statements after the first and the third quarters provide the market
			with valuable information, so we will continue to publish such statements, even though this is no longer a statutory requirement.
1.2 Gene	ral meeting		
1.2.1	The Committee recommends that when organizing the company's general meeting, the board of directors plans the meeting to support active ownership.	We comply	The Company's physical setting allows us to hold annual general meetings in-house, and in the opinion of the Board, it furthers active ownership that the Company's shareholders are able to meet our Board members and Executive Board face-to-face and visit our head office.
			In connection with the general meeting, all relevant documents will be uploaded on our website prior to and after the general meeting, and the actual meeting will also be webcast.
1.2.2.	The Committee recommends that proxies granted for the general meeting allow shareholders to consider each individual item on the agenda.	We comply	Shareholders in the Company are entitled to attend the Company's general meetings by proxy or accompanied by an adviser. Written proxies can either be given to the Board of Directors or to a third party, and the Company will place a written or electronic proxy form at the disposal of the shareholders.

			Proxies are prepared in such a manner that they allow shareholders to record voting instructions for each individual item on the agenda.
1.3. Take	over bids		
1.3.1	The Committee recommends that the company set up contingency procedures in the event of takeover bids from the time that the board of directors has reason to believe that a takeover bid will be made. According to such contingency procedures, the board of directors should not without the acceptance of the general meeting, attempt to counter the takeover bid by making decisions which in reality prevent the shareholders from deciding on the takeover bid themselves.	We comply	The Company's main shareholder, the Oticon Foundation, directly or indirectly holds 55-60% of the share capital and votes in William Demant Holding A/S. The Oticon Foundation has a statute according to which the Foundation is precluded from reducing its shareholdings to less than 50% through the sale of shares. This means that a takeover cannot be carried through, which would be communicated to a potential bidder. The rules of procedure for the Board of Directors include a short procedure to be followed, should
2 Toolse	and recognitibles of the board of di	wa at a wa	such a situation occur.
	and responsibilities of the board of di	rectors	
	all tasks and responsibilities	14/6	The Deard of Directors is grown at 11. f. of
2.1.1.	The Committee recommends that at least once a year the board of directors take a position on the matters related to the board's performance of its responsibilities.	We comply	The Board of Directors is responsible for the overall strategic management as well as the financial and managerial supervision of the Company. The Board's duties and responsibilities are set out in its rules of procedure which are revised once a year. In order to ensure that the Board's tasks are planned and performed in a timely manner, such tasks are predetermined in an annual cycle (annual wheel) for the Board of Directors.
2.1.2.	The Committee recommends that at least once a year the board of directors take a position on the overall strategy of the company with a view to ensuring value creation in the company.	We comply	The Company's overall goals, strategies, action plans and investment policy, including its financial scope are presented in a comprehensive Annual Plan and Budget, which will be discussed and approved by the Board of Directors. Substantial changes to the plans made or strategies laid down will, however, not await the annual discussion by the Board, but will be discussed on a current basis, should the need arise.
2.1.3.	The Committee recommends that the board of directors ensure that the company has a capital and share structure ensuring that the strategy and long-term value creation of the company are in the best interest of the shareholders and the company, and that the board of directors presents this in the management commentary on the company's annual report and/or on the company's website.	We comply	At regular intervals, the Board of Directors evaluates whether the Company's capital and share structures are in the best interest of the shareholders and support the Company's strategy and value creation. The Board of Directors and the Executive Board will at regular intervals review the share liquidity. The Company uses buy back of shares to channel the Group's excess cash flow back to the shareholders. In line with the Group's normal practice, our share buy-back will on an ongoing basis be adjusted to the extent of our acquisitions and investments. In May 2016 a split of the Company's shares at a ratio of 1:5 was carried through in order to support the liquidity in the shares to the benefit of all shareholders. In the Company's annual report,

			we account for the decisions made on the Company's share and capital structure.
2.1.4.	The Committee recommends that the board of directors annually review and approve guidelines for the executive board; this includes establishing requirements for the executive board on timely, accurate and adequate reporting to the board of directors.	We comply	The duties, responsibilities and scope of the Executive Board as well as the guidelines in respect of the delegation of duties between the Board of Directors and the Executive Board are stipulated in the Instructions for the Executive Board. Once a year, the Board of Directors will assess and – if required – revise the instructions. Good communication routines have been estab-
	directors.		lished between the Executive Board and the Board of Directors including efficient reporting practices.
			The Board of Directors' supervisory control with the Executive Board is primarily exercised through the reports received from the Executive Board, including financial data, through entries into the audit protocols and through discussions with the Executive Board at Board meetings, committee meetings and an ongoing dialogue with the Chairman of the Board.
2.1.5.	The Committee recommends that at least once a year the board of directors discuss the composition of the executive board, as well as developments, risks and succession plans.	We comply	The Chairman of the Board evaluates on a continuous basis and reviews at least once a year with the other Board members whether the Company is properly organised and managed in a satisfactory manner, including a review of the composition and development of the Executive Board.
2.1.6.	The Committee recommends that once a year the board of directors discuss the company's activities to ensure relevant diversity at management levels, including setting specific goals and accounting for its objectives and progress made in achieving the objectives in the management commentary on the company's annual report and/or on the website of the company.	We comply	In continuation of recent years' initiatives, recommendations and legislation on diversity at management levels, Group companies are focusing more strongly on promoting women in executive positions. To this end, the Group in 2012 established a diversity policy as well as specific initiatives aimed at ensuring equal terms between the genders when it comes to both employment and promotion. Measurements are launched on a yearly basis to follow up on the development.
			Once a year, the Executive Board will review the development with the Board of Directors and discuss if further initiatives are required.
			As we already in 2014 reached our target in respect of female Board members, the Board in February 2016 set a new target and deadline: Before the end of 2020, the Board of Directors aims to have at least two female members.
			The Company has chosen to publish its policy and objectives on gender diversity as well as an annual account of the development in this area on its website.

2.2.1.	porate social responsibility The Committee recommends that the	We	As part of our CSR efforts, we have adopted poli-
£.£.±.	board of directors adopt policies on corpo-	comply	cies in respect of corporate social responsibility.
	rate social responsibility.	comply	The policies are approved by the Board of Direc-
	rate social responsibility.		tors. Selected policies are available on our web-
			site.
2.2 Cha	irman and vice-chairman of the board of c	liroctors	site.
2.3.1.	The Committee recommends appointing a	We	The Board of Directors has elected a Deputy
2.3.1.	vice-chairman of the board of directors	comply	Chairman to head Board meetings, should the
	who will assume the responsibilities of the	comply	Chairman be prevented from participating. The
	chairman in the event of the chairman's		Chairman and the Deputy Chairman regularly dis-
	absence, and who will also act as effective		cuss the work of the Board of Directors.
			cuss the work of the Board of Directors.
2.3.2.	sparring partner for the chairman. The Committee recommends ensuring	We	This recommendation is incorporated into the
2.5.2.	_		•
	that, if the board of directors, in excep-	comply	rules of procedure of the Board of Directors,
	tional cases, asks the chairman of the		should a situation arise that necessitates such ac-
	board of directors to perform special oper-		tion.
	ating activities for the company, including		
	briefly participating in the day-to-day man-		
	agement, a board resolution to that effect		
	be passed to ensure that the board of di-		
	rectors maintains its independent, overall		
	management and control function. Resolu-		
	tions on the chairman's participation in		
	day-to-day management and the expected		
	duration hereof should be published in a		
	company announcement.		
	position and organization of the board	of directo	ors
	nposition		
3.1.1.	The Committee recommends that the	We	The Board of Directors has laid down which skills
	board of	comply	and professional experience the Board of Direc-
	directors annually accounts for		tors should possess to support the Company's
	 the skills it must have to best perform 		long-term growth. At least once a year, the Board
	its tasks,		will assess whether the development of the Com-
	the composition of the board of direc-		pany would call for new qualifications on the
	tors, and		Board.
	 the special skills of each member. 		
			A listing of such skills is available on our website
			as well as the composition of the Board of Direc-
			tors in office at any time and the skills and expe-
			rience of each Board member.
			rience of each Board member.
			rience of each Board member. The Board members' profiles, their individual
			rience of each Board member. The Board members' profiles, their individual competences and other executive duties are also
			rience of each Board member. The Board members' profiles, their individual competences and other executive duties are also presented in the Company's annual report.
3.1.2.	The Committee recommends that the se-	We	rience of each Board member. The Board members' profiles, their individual competences and other executive duties are also presented in the Company's annual report. The Board of Directors has established a nomina-
3.1.2.	lection and nomination of candidates for	We comply	rience of each Board member. The Board members' profiles, their individual competences and other executive duties are also presented in the Company's annual report. The Board of Directors has established a nomination committee. According to the charter of the
3.1.2.	lection and nomination of candidates for the board of directors be carried out		rience of each Board member. The Board members' profiles, their individual competences and other executive duties are also presented in the Company's annual report. The Board of Directors has established a nomination committee. According to the charter of the committee one of its duties is to nominate and
3.1.2.	lection and nomination of candidates for the board of directors be carried out through a thoroughly transparent process		rience of each Board member. The Board members' profiles, their individual competences and other executive duties are also presented in the Company's annual report. The Board of Directors has established a nomination committee. According to the charter of the committee one of its duties is to nominate and recommend candidates to the Board of Directors.
3.1.2.	lection and nomination of candidates for the board of directors be carried out through a thoroughly transparent process approved by the overall board of directors.		rience of each Board member. The Board members' profiles, their individual competences and other executive duties are also presented in the Company's annual report. The Board of Directors has established a nomination committee. According to the charter of the committee one of its duties is to nominate and recommend candidates to the Board of Directors Before recommending new candidates to the an-
3.1.2.	lection and nomination of candidates for the board of directors be carried out through a thoroughly transparent process approved by the overall board of directors. When assessing its composition and nomi-		rience of each Board member. The Board members' profiles, their individual competences and other executive duties are also presented in the Company's annual report. The Board of Directors has established a nomination committee. According to the charter of the committee one of its duties is to nominate and recommend candidates to the Board of Directors Before recommending new candidates to the annual general meeting the entire Board of Directors
3.1.2.	lection and nomination of candidates for the board of directors be carried out through a thoroughly transparent process approved by the overall board of directors. When assessing its composition and nomi- nating new candidates, the board of direc-		rience of each Board member. The Board members' profiles, their individual competences and other executive duties are also presented in the Company's annual report. The Board of Directors has established a nomination committee. According to the charter of the committee one of its duties is to nominate and recommend candidates to the Board of Directors Before recommending new candidates to the annual general meeting the entire Board of Directors will thus have the opportunity to discuss the
3.1.2.	lection and nomination of candidates for the board of directors be carried out through a thoroughly transparent process approved by the overall board of directors. When assessing its composition and nomi-		rience of each Board member. The Board members' profiles, their individual competences and other executive duties are also presented in the Company's annual report. The Board of Directors has established a nomination committee. According to the charter of the committee one of its duties is to nominate and recommend candidates to the Board of Directors Before recommending new candidates to the annual general meeting the entire Board of Directors
3.1.2.	lection and nomination of candidates for the board of directors be carried out through a thoroughly transparent process approved by the overall board of directors. When assessing its composition and nomi- nating new candidates, the board of direc-		rience of each Board member. The Board members' profiles, their individual competences and other executive duties are also presented in the Company's annual report. The Board of Directors has established a nomination committee. According to the charter of the committee one of its duties is to nominate and recommend candidates to the Board of Directors Before recommending new candidates to the annual general meeting the entire Board of Directors will thus have the opportunity to discuss the
3.1.2.	lection and nomination of candidates for the board of directors be carried out through a thoroughly transparent process approved by the overall board of directors. When assessing its composition and nomi- nating new candidates, the board of direc- tors must take into consideration the need		rience of each Board member. The Board members' profiles, their individual competences and other executive duties are also presented in the Company's annual report. The Board of Directors has established a nomination committee. According to the charter of the committee one of its duties is to nominate and recommend candidates to the Board of Directors Before recommending new candidates to the annual general meeting the entire Board of Directors will thus have the opportunity to discuss the

In the composition of the Board of Directors, focus is on ensuring the necessary skills and experience that must be present in order for the Board to be able to perform its duties in the best possible way. In the annual evaluation of the Board of Directors' work, each member has the opportunity to comment on the collective competences of the Board. As far as diversity is concerned, great importance is attached to international experience and management experience from listed companies. On nomination of new candidates, the appointment of female candidates will in future carry particular weight. However, the professional qualifications of the candidates will always outweigh other considerations. 3.1.3. The Committee recommends that a description of the nominated candidates' comply qualifications, including information about the candidates' • other executive functions, e.g. memberships in executive boards, boards of directors, and supervisory boards, including board committees in foreign enterprises, be accompanied by the notice convening the general meeting when election of members to the board of directors is on the agenda. • demanding organizational tasks, and information
 about whether candidates to the board of directors are considered independent. As regards both new and renominated candidates for the Board of Directors, the recommendation to the shareholders will include infor-
mation on whether the candidate is considered independent.
3.1.4. The Committee recommends that the company's articles of association stipulate a retirement age for members of the We compliance with the Company's Articles of Association, a Board member must resign from the Board no later than at the first ordinary general
0 0 7
2.4.F. The Committee measurement that many 12.
3.1.5. The Committee recommends that members elected by
bers of the board of directors elected by comply sociation, Board members elected by the share-
bers of the board of directors elected by the general meeting be up for election comply sociation, Board members elected by the share-holders are elected for one year at a time.
bers of the board of directors elected by the general meeting be up for election every year at the annual general meeting.
bers of the board of directors elected by the general meeting be up for election every year at the annual general meeting. comply sociation, Board members elected by the share-holders are elected for one year at a time. 3.2. Independence of the board of directors
bers of the board of directors elected by the general meeting be up for election every year at the annual general meeting. 3.2. Independence of the board of directors 3.2.1. The Committee recommends that at least We With the present composition of the Board of Di-
bers of the board of directors elected by the general meeting be up for election every year at the annual general meeting. 3.2. Independence of the board of directors 3.2.1. The Committee recommends that at least half of the members of the board of directors. We with the present composition of the Board of Directors, we comply with the recommendation.
bers of the board of directors elected by the general meeting be up for election every year at the annual general meeting. 3.2. Independence of the board of directors 3.2.1. The Committee recommends that at least half of the members of the board of directors tors elected by the general meeting be in-
bers of the board of directors elected by the general meeting be up for election every year at the annual general meeting. 3.2. Independence of the board of directors 3.2.1. The Committee recommends that at least half of the members of the board of directors tors elected by the general meeting be independent persons, in order for the board bers of the board of directors are elected for one year at a time. We comply with the present composition of the Board of Directors, we comply with the recommendation. Of the five Board members elected by the share-hold-
bers of the board of directors elected by the general meeting be up for election every year at the annual general meeting. 3.2. Independence of the board of directors 3.2.1. The Committee recommends that at least half of the members of the board of directors tors elected by the general meeting be independent persons, in order for the board of directors to be able to act inde- bers of the board of directory sociation, Board members elected by the share-holders are elected for one year at a time. We comply with the present composition of the Board of Directors, we comply with the recommendation. Of the five Board members elected by shareholders, three are independent, whereas two (Chair-
bers of the board of directors elected by the general meeting be up for election every year at the annual general meeting. 3.2. Independence of the board of directors 3.2.1. The Committee recommends that at least half of the members of the board of directors elected by the general meeting be independent persons, in order for the board of directors to be able to act independently of special interests. To be considered sociation, Board members elected by the share-holders are elected for one year at a time. We comply with the present composition of the Board of Directors, we comply with the recommendation. Of the five Board members elected by shareholders, three are independent, whereas two (Chairman and Deputy Chairman) are not considered
bers of the board of directors elected by the general meeting be up for election every year at the annual general meeting. 3.2. Independence of the board of directors 3.2.1. The Committee recommends that at least half of the members of the board of directors elected by the general meeting be independent persons, in order for the board of directors to be able to act independently of special interests. To be considered independent. The reasons for them not being
bers of the board of directors elected by the general meeting be up for election every year at the annual general meeting. 3.2. Independence of the board of directors 3.2.1. The Committee recommends that at least half of the members of the board of directors elected by the general meeting be independent persons, in order for the board of directors to be able to act independently of special interests. To be consi-

			,
3.3. Mem 3.3.1.	 a subsidiary undertaking or an associate, within the past five years, have received larger emoluments from the company/group, a subsidiary undertaking or an associate in another capacity than as member of the board of directors, represent the interests of a controlling shareholder, within the past year, have had significant business relations (e.g. personal or indirectly as partner or employee, shareholder, customer, supplier or member of the executive management in companies with corresponding connection) with the company, a subsidiary undertaking or an associate. be or within the past three years have been employed or partner at the external auditor, have been chief executive in a company holding cross-memberships with the company, have been member of the board of directors for more than 12 years, or have been close relatives with persons who are not considered independent. bers of the board of directors and the number of the board of directors assesses 	mber of ot We comply	her executive functions Prior to nominating a new Board member, the Chairman will make sure that such member has
3.3.2.	can manage satisfactorily for the company. The Committee recommends that the management commentary, in addition to the provisions laid down by legislation, includes the following information about the members of the board of directors: • the position of the relevant person, • the age and gender of the relevant person, • whether the member is considered independent, • the date of appointment to the board of directors of the member, • expiry of the current election period, • other executive functions, e.g. memberships in executive boards, boards of directors, and supervisory boards, including board committees in foreign enterprises and • demanding organizational tasks, and • the number of shares, options, warrants and similar in the company, and	We comply	members will consider whether they have the necessary time to perform their duties as Board members. The annual report and our website include a list of all Board members with all relevant details as well as information on whether the individual Board member is considered independent, when the individual member joined the Board of Directors, whether they were re-elected and that their election period is one year (four years for staffelected Board members). At year-end 2016, all Board members were shareholders in the Company. The shareholding of each Board member and any changes in such holding in the past year will appear from our annual report.

	other group companies of the company,		
	owned by the member, as well as		
	changes in the portfolio of the member		
	of the securities mentioned which have		
2 / Roa	occurred during the financial year. rd committees		
3.4.1.	The Committees The Committee recommends that the	We	The Company has established an audit commit-
3.4.1.	company publish the following on the	comply	tee and a nomination committee.
	company's website:	compiy	tee and a nonmation committee.
	• the terms of reference of the board		The terms of reference of these committees as
	committees,		well as information on their members, the num-
	 the most important activities of the 		ber of meetings held etc. is available on the Com-
	committees during the year, and the		pany's website.
	number of meetings held by each com-		
	mittee, and		
	 the names of the members of each 		
	committee, including the chairmen of		
	the committees, as well as information		
	on which members are independent members and which members have		
	special qualifications.		
3.4.2.	The Committee recommends that a major-	We do	All five Board members elected by the sharehold-
011121	ity of the members of a board committee	not	ers are members of the audit committee. Three
	be independent.	comply	of them are considered independent, whereas
			two are not considered independent. Thus, the
			majority of audit committee members are inde-
			pendent. The Chairman of the audit committee is
			independent.
			The nomination committee is composed of the
			Chairman and the Deputy Chairman of the Com-
			pany, the Chairman and the Deputy Chairman of
			the Oticon Foundation, and the CEO of the Com-
			pany. The Chairman of the Board of Directors is
			also Chairman of the nomination committee. This
			composition reflects that the Oticon Foundation
			is the Company's majority shareholder. Due to
			that none of the nomination committee members are independent.
3.4.3.	The Committee recommends that the	We	William Demant Holding's Board of Directors has
	board of directors set up a formal <u>audit</u>	comply	established an audit committee.
	committee composed such that		
	 the chairman of the board of directors 		The Board of Directors appoints the Chairman of
	is not chairman of the audit committee,		the audit committee, who is independent and who
	and		will not at the same time be the Chairman of the
	between them, the members should		Board of Directors.
	possess such expertise and experience		The couding agreement to a constitution of the couding of the country of the coun
	as to provide an updated insight into		The audit committee members all have substan-
	and experience in the financial, accounting and audit aspects of compa-		tial financial knowledge and experience in accounting and auditing matters.
	nies whose shares are admitted to trad-		Counting and additing matters.
	ing on a regulated market.		
3.4.4.	The Committee recommends that, prior to	We	Audit committee meetings coincide with ordinary
	the approval of the annual report and	comply	Board meetings. Prior to each meeting, a sepa-
	other financial reports, the audit commit-		rate agenda is issued with fixed items and special
	tee monitors and reports to the board of		reports predetermined in a full-year wheel chart
	directors about:		for the audit committee, covering among other

	 significant accounting policies, significant accounting estimates, related party transactions, and uncertainties and risks, including in relation to the outlook for the current year. 		things accounting policies, significant accounting estimates, related party transactions as well as uncertainties and risks.
3.4.5.	The Committee recommends that the audit committee: annually assesses the need for an internal audit, and in such case, makes recommendations on selecting, appointing and removing the head of the internal audit function and on the budget of the internal audit function, and monitor the executive board's followup on the conclusions and recommendations of the internal audit function.	We comply	The Company has no internal audit function, but uses internal control systems that are monitored by the Board of Directors on an ongoing basis. Our auditors also assess the internal control systems in their communication with our Board of Directors. As part of the tasks of the audit committee, the committee will continously and at least once a year assess any need for an internal audit function. If such need should arise, the audit committee would provide a recommendation and framework for such internal audit function
3.4.6.	The Committee recommends that the board of directors establish a nomination committee chaired by the chairman of the board of directors with at least the following preparatory tasks: describe the qualifications required by the board of directors and the executive board, and for a specific membership, state the time expected to be spent on having to carry out the membership, as well as assess the competences, knowledge and experience of the two governing bodies combined, annually assess the structure, size, composition and results of the board of directors and the executive board, as well as recommend any changes to the board of directors, annually assess the competences, knowledge and experience of the individual members of management, and report to the board of directors in this respect, consider proposals from relevant persons, including shareholders and members of the board of directors and the executive board for candidates for the board of directors and the executive board, and propose an action plan to the board of directors on the future composition of the board of directors, including proposals for specific changes.	We comply	The Board of Directors of William Demant Holding has set up a nomination committee. The Chairman of the Board of Directors is Chairman of the nomination committee. The composition of the committee and its terms of reference are published on our website. Nomination committee meetings are held as often as the Chairman finds it necessary however, at least once a year.
3.4.7.	The Committee recommends that the board of directors establish a <u>remuneration committee</u> with at least the following preparatory tasks:	We do not comply	The Board of Directors has adopted a simple and clear remuneration policy for the Board members as well as for the Executive Board. The policy allows the Board of Directors to offer the

	 to recommend the remuneration policy 		members of the Executive Board a variable cash
	(including the general guidelines for in-		remuneration component in the form of an an-
	centive-based remuneration) to the		nually revolving retention scheme.
	board of directors and the executive		
	board for approval by the board of di-		Proposals to change the adopted policy or the
	rectors prior to approval by the general		approved fee are discussed by the entire Board
	meeting,		of Directors and would be submitted to the gen-
	make proposals to the board of direc-		eral meeting for approval as required by law and
	tors on remuneration for members of		recommended by the committee on corporate
	the board of directors and the execu-		governance (see 4.1.1.)
	tive board, as well as ensure that the		
	remuneration is in compliance with the		Due to the simple remuneration policy the Board
	company's remuneration policy and the		of Directors has determined that a remuneration
	assessment of the performance of the		committee is not required.
	persons concerned. The committee		·
	should have information about the total		
	amount of remuneration that members		
	of the board of directors and the execu-		
	tive board receive from other compa-		
	nies in the group, and		
	 recommend a remuneration policy ap- 		
	plicable for the company in general.		
3.4.8.	The Committee recommends that the re-	We	In questions relating to remuneration, our Board
3.4.0.	muneration committee do not consult	comply	of Directors and our Executive Board would not
	with the same external advisers as the ex-	Comply	use the same external advisers, should such a sit-
	ecutive board of the company.		uation occur.
2 F Evalu	nation of the performance of the board o	f directors	
3.5.1.	The Committee recommends that the	We	
J.J.1.			The Board of Directors has adopted an evaluation
5.5.1.	board of directors establish an evaluation	comply	procedure.
J.J.1.	board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results		procedure.
3.3.1.	board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individ-		procedure. The Chairman is in charge of an annual evalua-
5.5.1.	board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with		procedure. The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors
J.J.1.	board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evalu-		procedure. The Chairman is in charge of an annual evalua-
J.J.1.	board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the		procedure. The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year.
J.J.1.	board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the evaluation should be included in the man-		procedure. The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year. Every other year, the Chairman of the Board per-
J.J.1.	board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the evaluation should be included in the management commentary or on the com-		procedure. The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year. Every other year, the Chairman of the Board performs this evaluation through personal, individ-
J.J.1.	board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the evaluation should be included in the man-		procedure. The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year. Every other year, the Chairman of the Board performs this evaluation through personal, individual interviews with the Board members, and
J.J.1.	board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the evaluation should be included in the management commentary or on the com-		procedure. The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year. Every other year, the Chairman of the Board performs this evaluation through personal, individual interviews with the Board members, and every other year, the evaluation is carried out by
J.J.1.	board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the evaluation should be included in the management commentary or on the com-		The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year. Every other year, the Chairman of the Board performs this evaluation through personal, individual interviews with the Board members, and every other year, the evaluation is carried out by means of questionnaires filled out by the Board
J.J.1.	board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the evaluation should be included in the management commentary or on the com-		procedure. The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year. Every other year, the Chairman of the Board performs this evaluation through personal, individual interviews with the Board members, and every other year, the evaluation is carried out by means of questionnaires filled out by the Board members. In both instances, the results of the
J.J.1.	board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the evaluation should be included in the management commentary or on the com-		The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year. Every other year, the Chairman of the Board performs this evaluation through personal, individual interviews with the Board members, and every other year, the evaluation is carried out by means of questionnaires filled out by the Board members. In both instances, the results of the evaluation is discussed at the subsequent Board
J.J.1.	board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the evaluation should be included in the management commentary or on the com-		procedure. The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year. Every other year, the Chairman of the Board performs this evaluation through personal, individual interviews with the Board members, and every other year, the evaluation is carried out by means of questionnaires filled out by the Board members. In both instances, the results of the
J.J.1.	board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the evaluation should be included in the management commentary or on the com-		procedure. The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year. Every other year, the Chairman of the Board performs this evaluation through personal, individual interviews with the Board members, and every other year, the evaluation is carried out by means of questionnaires filled out by the Board members. In both instances, the results of the evaluation is discussed at the subsequent Board meeting.
J.J.1.	board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the evaluation should be included in the management commentary or on the com-		The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year. Every other year, the Chairman of the Board performs this evaluation through personal, individual interviews with the Board members, and every other year, the evaluation is carried out by means of questionnaires filled out by the Board members. In both instances, the results of the evaluation is discussed at the subsequent Board meeting. The Board members consider this evaluation ap-
	board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the evaluation should be included in the management commentary or on the company's website.	comply	The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year. Every other year, the Chairman of the Board performs this evaluation through personal, individual interviews with the Board members, and every other year, the evaluation is carried out by means of questionnaires filled out by the Board members. In both instances, the results of the evaluation is discussed at the subsequent Board meeting. The Board members consider this evaluation appropriate and satisfactory.
3.5.2.	board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the evaluation should be included in the management commentary or on the company's website. The Committee recommends that in con-	comply	The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year. Every other year, the Chairman of the Board performs this evaluation through personal, individual interviews with the Board members, and every other year, the evaluation is carried out by means of questionnaires filled out by the Board members. In both instances, the results of the evaluation is discussed at the subsequent Board meeting. The Board members consider this evaluation appropriate and satisfactory. Under the Company's Articles of Association, the
	board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the evaluation should be included in the management commentary or on the company's website. The Committee recommends that in connection with preparation of the general	comply	The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year. Every other year, the Chairman of the Board performs this evaluation through personal, individual interviews with the Board members, and every other year, the evaluation is carried out by means of questionnaires filled out by the Board members. In both instances, the results of the evaluation is discussed at the subsequent Board meeting. The Board members consider this evaluation appropriate and satisfactory. Under the Company's Articles of Association, the Board of Directors must consist of four to six
	board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the evaluation should be included in the management commentary or on the company's website. The Committee recommends that in connection with preparation of the general meeting, the board of directors consider	comply	The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year. Every other year, the Chairman of the Board performs this evaluation through personal, individual interviews with the Board members, and every other year, the evaluation is carried out by means of questionnaires filled out by the Board members. In both instances, the results of the evaluation is discussed at the subsequent Board meeting. The Board members consider this evaluation appropriate and satisfactory. Under the Company's Articles of Association, the Board of Directors must consist of four to six Board members elected by the shareholders at
	board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the evaluation should be included in the management commentary or on the company's website. The Committee recommends that in connection with preparation of the general meeting, the board of directors consider whether the number of members is appro-	comply	The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year. Every other year, the Chairman of the Board performs this evaluation through personal, individual interviews with the Board members, and every other year, the evaluation is carried out by means of questionnaires filled out by the Board members. In both instances, the results of the evaluation is discussed at the subsequent Board meeting. The Board members consider this evaluation appropriate and satisfactory. Under the Company's Articles of Association, the Board of Directors must consist of four to six Board members elected by the shareholders at the general meeting in addition to the staff-
	board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the evaluation should be included in the management commentary or on the company's website. The Committee recommends that in connection with preparation of the general meeting, the board of directors consider whether the number of members is appropriate in relation to the requirements of	comply	The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year. Every other year, the Chairman of the Board performs this evaluation through personal, individual interviews with the Board members, and every other year, the evaluation is carried out by means of questionnaires filled out by the Board members. In both instances, the results of the evaluation is discussed at the subsequent Board meeting. The Board members consider this evaluation appropriate and satisfactory. Under the Company's Articles of Association, the Board of Directors must consist of four to six Board members elected by the shareholders at
	board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the evaluation should be included in the management commentary or on the company's website. The Committee recommends that in connection with preparation of the general meeting, the board of directors consider whether the number of members is appropriate in relation to the requirements of the company. This should help ensure a	comply	The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year. Every other year, the Chairman of the Board performs this evaluation through personal, individual interviews with the Board members, and every other year, the evaluation is carried out by means of questionnaires filled out by the Board members. In both instances, the results of the evaluation is discussed at the subsequent Board meeting. The Board members consider this evaluation appropriate and satisfactory. Under the Company's Articles of Association, the Board of Directors must consist of four to six Board members elected by the shareholders at the general meeting in addition to the staff-elected Board members.
	board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the evaluation should be included in the management commentary or on the company's website. The Committee recommends that in connection with preparation of the general meeting, the board of directors consider whether the number of members is appropriate in relation to the requirements of the company. This should help ensure a constructive debate and an effective deci-	comply	The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year. Every other year, the Chairman of the Board performs this evaluation through personal, individual interviews with the Board members, and every other year, the evaluation is carried out by means of questionnaires filled out by the Board members. In both instances, the results of the evaluation is discussed at the subsequent Board meeting. The Board members consider this evaluation appropriate and satisfactory. Under the Company's Articles of Association, the Board of Directors must consist of four to six Board members elected by the shareholders at the general meeting in addition to the staffelected Board members. The Chairman of the Board and the nomination
	board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the evaluation should be included in the management commentary or on the company's website. The Committee recommends that in connection with preparation of the general meeting, the board of directors consider whether the number of members is appropriate in relation to the requirements of the company. This should help ensure a constructive debate and an effective decision-making process in which all members	comply	The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year. Every other year, the Chairman of the Board performs this evaluation through personal, individual interviews with the Board members, and every other year, the evaluation is carried out by means of questionnaires filled out by the Board members. In both instances, the results of the evaluation is discussed at the subsequent Board meeting. The Board members consider this evaluation appropriate and satisfactory. Under the Company's Articles of Association, the Board of Directors must consist of four to six Board members elected by the shareholders at the general meeting in addition to the staffelected Board members. The Chairman of the Board and the nomination committee continuously and at least once a year
	board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the evaluation should be included in the management commentary or on the company's website. The Committee recommends that in connection with preparation of the general meeting, the board of directors consider whether the number of members is appropriate in relation to the requirements of the company. This should help ensure a constructive debate and an effective deci-	comply	The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year. Every other year, the Chairman of the Board performs this evaluation through personal, individual interviews with the Board members, and every other year, the evaluation is carried out by means of questionnaires filled out by the Board members. In both instances, the results of the evaluation is discussed at the subsequent Board meeting. The Board members consider this evaluation appropriate and satisfactory. Under the Company's Articles of Association, the Board of Directors must consist of four to six Board members elected by the shareholders at the general meeting in addition to the staffelected Board members. The Chairman of the Board and the nomination committee continuously and at least once a year considers whether the activities and develop-
	board of directors establish an evaluation procedure where contributions and results of the board of directors and the individual members, as well as collaboration with the executive board are annually evaluated. Significant changes deriving from the evaluation should be included in the management commentary or on the company's website. The Committee recommends that in connection with preparation of the general meeting, the board of directors consider whether the number of members is appropriate in relation to the requirements of the company. This should help ensure a constructive debate and an effective decision-making process in which all members	comply	The Chairman is in charge of an annual evaluation of the work done by the Board of Directors and reports back to the entire Board once a year. Every other year, the Chairman of the Board performs this evaluation through personal, individual interviews with the Board members, and every other year, the evaluation is carried out by means of questionnaires filled out by the Board members. In both instances, the results of the evaluation is discussed at the subsequent Board meeting. The Board members consider this evaluation appropriate and satisfactory. Under the Company's Articles of Association, the Board of Directors must consist of four to six Board members elected by the shareholders at the general meeting in addition to the staffelected Board members. The Chairman of the Board and the nomination committee continuously and at least once a year

			general meeting in 2016, the Board was ex-
			tended from four members to five members
			elected by the shareholders.
			Recommendations from the nomination commit- tee are presented to and discussed by the Board of Directors before being proposed to the gen- eral meeting.
3.5.3.	The Committee recommends that at least	We	In consultation with the other Board members,
	once every year the board of directors evaluate the work and performance of the executive board in accordance with predefined clear criteria.	comply	the Chairman of the Board evaluates once a year the work and results of the Executive Board.
3.5.4.	The Committee recommends that the ex-	We	Once a year, the Chairman of the Board conducts
	ecutive board and the board of directors establish a procedure according to which their cooperation is evaluated annually through a formalized dialogue between the chairman of the board of directors and the chief executive officer and that the outcome of the evaluation be presented	comply	an evaluation interview with the CEO. The cooperation between the Executive Board and the Board of Directors is also reviewed and commented in the annual evaluation procedure, cf. 3.5.1.
	to the board of directors.		
4. Remu	neration of management		
	and content of the remuneration policy		
4.1.1.	The Committee recommends that the	We	The Board of Directors has adopted a simple and
	board of directors prepare a clear and	comply	transparent remuneration policy applicable to
	transparent remuneration policy for the		the Board of Directors and to the Executive
	board of directors and the executive		Board. The policy is explained on the Company's
	board, including		website and is mentioned by the Chairman in his
	 a detailed description of the components of the remuneration for members 		report at annual general meetings. Any future changes in the remuneration policy will be pre-
	of the board of directors and the execu- tive board,		sented at the general meeting for adoption.
	the reasons for choosing the individual		At the annual general meeting in 2016 new
	components of the remuneration, and		guidelines for incentive pay for the Executive
	 a description of the criteria on which the balance between the individual 		Board were adopted, allowing incentive pay in addition to a fixed remuneration. Hence, the
	components of the remuneration is		Board of Directors has offered the members of
	based.		the Executive Board a variable cash-settled remu-
	The remuneration policy should be approved by the general meeting and pub-		neration component in the form of an annually revolving retention scheme.
	lished on the company's website.		Board members' fees consist of a fixed basic fee.
4.1.2.	The Committee recommends that, if the	We	At the annual general meeting in April 2016, new
	remuneration policy includes variable	comply	guidelines for incentive pay were adopted allow-
	components,		ing agreements on incentive pay for the Execu-
	 limits be set on the variable components of the total remuneration pack- 		tive Board including variable components.
	 age, a reasonable and balanced linkage be ensured between remuneration for governing body members, expected risks and the value creation for shareholders in the short and long terms, there be clarity about performance cri- 		The remuneration policy is compliant with the recommendations.
	teria and measurability for award of		
	variable components,		

	 there be criteria ensuring that qualify- 		
	ing periods for variable components in		
	remuneration agreements are longer		
	than one calendar year, and		
	 an agreement is made which, in excep- 		
	tional cases, entitles the company to re-		
	claim in full or in part variable compo-		
	The state of the s		
	nents of remuneration that were paid		
	on the basis of data, which proved to be		
	misstated.		
4.1.3.	The Committee recommends that remu-	We .	The Board of Directors receive a fixed fee and no
	neration of members of the board of di-	comply	variable componets, share option schemes or
	rectors does not include share options.		similar arrangements.
4.1.4.	The Committee recommends that if share-	Not rele-	Remuneration of the Board of Directors and Ex-
	based remuneration is provided, such pro-	vant	ecutive Board is not share-based for which rea-
	grammes be established as roll-over pro-		son this recommendation is not relevant at pre-
	grammes, i.e. the options are granted peri-		sent. See also 4.1.2.
	odically and should have a maturity of at		
	least three years from the date of alloca-		
	tion.		
4.1.5.	The Committee recommends that agree-	We do	If the Company terminates the employment of
	ments on termination payments should	not	the President & CEO, a notice period of 2.5 years
	not amount to more than two years' an-	comply	has been agreed upon.
	nual remuneration.		
			For the other members of the Executive Board,
			the severance payment will not exceed two
			years' salary.
4.2. Discl	osure of the remuneration policy		
4.2.1.	The Committee recommends that the	We	The Company's remuneration policy and compli-
	company's remuneration policy and com-	comply	ance with such policy will be explained in the
	pliance with this policy be explained and		Chairman's report at the annual general meeting.
	justified annually in the chairman's state-		
	ment at the company's general meeting.		
4.2.2.	The Committee recommends that the pro-	We	A proposal in respect of remuneration to be paid
	posed remuneration for the board of di-	comply	to the Board of Directors for the current financial
	rectors for the current financial year be		year is presented to the shareholders at the gen-
	approved by the shareholders at the gen-		eral meeting for adoption.
	1		erai ineeting for adoption.
	eral meeting.		erarmeeting for adoption.
4.2.3.	The Committee recommends that the to-	We	
4.2.3.	The Committee recommends that the to-		Our annual report includes information on the
4.2.3.	The Committee recommends that the total remuneration granted to each member	We comply	
4.2.3.	The Committee recommends that the total remuneration granted to each member of the board of directors and the executive		Our annual report includes information on the remuneration paid to the individual Board members and the individual members of the Executive
4.2.3.	The Committee recommends that the total remuneration granted to each member of the board of directors and the executive board by the company and other companions.		Our annual report includes information on the remuneration paid to the individual Board members and the individual members of the Executive Board in the period under review as well as the
4.2.3.	The Committee recommends that the total remuneration granted to each member of the board of directors and the executive board by the company and other companies in the group, including information on		Our annual report includes information on the remuneration paid to the individual Board members and the individual members of the Executive Board in the period under review as well as the particulars of the Executive Board's retention and
4.2.3.	The Committee recommends that the total remuneration granted to each member of the board of directors and the executive board by the company and other companies in the group, including information on the most important contents of retention		Our annual report includes information on the remuneration paid to the individual Board members and the individual members of the Executive Board in the period under review as well as the
4.2.3.	The Committee recommends that the total remuneration granted to each member of the board of directors and the executive board by the company and other companies in the group, including information on the most important contents of retention and retirement/resignation schemes, be		Our annual report includes information on the remuneration paid to the individual Board members and the individual members of the Executive Board in the period under review as well as the particulars of the Executive Board's retention and
4.2.3.	The Committee recommends that the total remuneration granted to each member of the board of directors and the executive board by the company and other companies in the group, including information on the most important contents of retention and retirement/resignation schemes, be disclosed in the annual report and that the		Our annual report includes information on the remuneration paid to the individual Board members and the individual members of the Executive Board in the period under review as well as the particulars of the Executive Board's retention and
4.2.3.	The Committee recommends that the total remuneration granted to each member of the board of directors and the executive board by the company and other companies in the group, including information on the most important contents of retention and retirement/resignation schemes, be disclosed in the annual report and that the linkage with the remuneration policy be		Our annual report includes information on the remuneration paid to the individual Board members and the individual members of the Executive Board in the period under review as well as the particulars of the Executive Board's retention and
	The Committee recommends that the total remuneration granted to each member of the board of directors and the executive board by the company and other companies in the group, including information on the most important contents of retention and retirement/resignation schemes, be disclosed in the annual report and that the linkage with the remuneration policy be explained.	comply	Our annual report includes information on the remuneration paid to the individual Board members and the individual members of the Executive Board in the period under review as well as the particulars of the Executive Board's retention and
5. Financ	The Committee recommends that the total remuneration granted to each member of the board of directors and the executive board by the company and other companies in the group, including information on the most important contents of retention and retirement/resignation schemes, be disclosed in the annual report and that the linkage with the remuneration policy be explained.	comply	Our annual report includes information on the remuneration paid to the individual Board members and the individual members of the Executive Board in the period under review as well as the particulars of the Executive Board's retention and severance schemes.
5. Finand	The Committee recommends that the total remuneration granted to each member of the board of directors and the executive board by the company and other companies in the group, including information on the most important contents of retention and retirement/resignation schemes, be disclosed in the annual report and that the linkage with the remuneration policy be explained. Cial reporting, risk management and a diffication of risks and transparency about	comply udits other relev	Our annual report includes information on the remuneration paid to the individual Board members and the individual members of the Executive Board in the period under review as well as the particulars of the Executive Board's retention and severance schemes.
5. Financ	The Committee recommends that the total remuneration granted to each member of the board of directors and the executive board by the company and other companies in the group, including information on the most important contents of retention and retirement/resignation schemes, be disclosed in the annual report and that the linkage with the remuneration policy be explained. Cial reporting, risk management and a diffication of risks and transparency about	udits other relev	Our annual report includes information on the remuneration paid to the individual Board members and the individual members of the Executive Board in the period under review as well as the particulars of the Executive Board's retention and severance schemes. vant information The Board of Directors will consider essential
5. Finand	The Committee recommends that the total remuneration granted to each member of the board of directors and the executive board by the company and other companies in the group, including information on the most important contents of retention and retirement/resignation schemes, be disclosed in the annual report and that the linkage with the remuneration policy be explained. Cial reporting, risk management and a diffication of risks and transparency about The Committee recommends that the board of directors in the management	comply udits other relev	Our annual report includes information on the remuneration paid to the individual Board members and the individual members of the Executive Board in the period under review as well as the particulars of the Executive Board's retention and severance schemes. /ant information The Board of Directors will consider essential strategic and business risks as well as risks in re-
5. Finand	The Committee recommends that the total remuneration granted to each member of the board of directors and the executive board by the company and other companies in the group, including information on the most important contents of retention and retirement/resignation schemes, be disclosed in the annual report and that the linkage with the remuneration policy be explained. Cial reporting, risk management and a dification of risks and transparency about The Committee recommends that the board of directors in the management commentary review and account for the	udits other relev	Our annual report includes information on the remuneration paid to the individual Board members and the individual members of the Executive Board in the period under review as well as the particulars of the Executive Board's retention and severance schemes. vant information The Board of Directors will consider essential strategic and business risks as well as risks in respect of the presentation of accounts and the
5. Finand	The Committee recommends that the total remuneration granted to each member of the board of directors and the executive board by the company and other companies in the group, including information on the most important contents of retention and retirement/resignation schemes, be disclosed in the annual report and that the linkage with the remuneration policy be explained. Cial reporting, risk management and a diffication of risks and transparency about The Committee recommends that the board of directors in the management	udits other relev	Our annual report includes information on the remuneration paid to the individual Board members and the individual members of the Executive Board in the period under review as well as the particulars of the Executive Board's retention and severance schemes. vant information The Board of Directors will consider essential strategic and business risks as well as risks in respect of the presentation of accounts and the Company's activities and results. Through dia-
5. Finand	The Committee recommends that the total remuneration granted to each member of the board of directors and the executive board by the company and other companies in the group, including information on the most important contents of retention and retirement/resignation schemes, be disclosed in the annual report and that the linkage with the remuneration policy be explained. Cial reporting, risk management and a dification of risks and transparency about The Committee recommends that the board of directors in the management commentary review and account for the	udits other relev	Our annual report includes information on the remuneration paid to the individual Board members and the individual members of the Executive Board in the period under review as well as the particulars of the Executive Board's retention and severance schemes. vant information The Board of Directors will consider essential strategic and business risks as well as risks in respect of the presentation of accounts and the

	lated risks, risks in connection with the financial reporting as well as for the company's risk management.		good insight into the Company's risk profile. Furthermore, the Board of Directors is continously updated on market and business changes. The Company's risk management activities are outlined in our annual report.
5.2. Whistleblower scheme			
5.2.1.	The Committee recommends that the board of directors decide whether to establish a whistleblower scheme for expedient and confidential notification of possible or suspected wrongdoing.	We comply	Once a year, the Board of Directors reviews the need for setting up a whistleblower scheme. We have not yet set up a proper whistleblower scheme but instead guidelines as to how staff may contact the Group's in-house legal advisers with information.
5.3. Cor	tact to auditor		
5.3.1.	The Committee recommends that the board of directors ensure regular dialogue and exchange of information between the auditor and the board of directors, including that the board of directors and the audit committee at least once a year meet with the auditor without the executive board present. This also applies to the internal auditor, if any.	We comply	The Board of Directors and our auditors meet at least twice a year to maintain a dialogue and exchange information. Once a year, at the meeting where the financial statements are approved, the auditors and the Board of Directors also meet without the presence of the Executive Board.
5.3.2.	The Committee recommends that the audit agreement and auditors' fee be agreed between the board of directors and the auditor on the basis of a recommendation from the audit committee.	We comply	Prior to start-up of the auditing process, the auditors' remuneration and the audit agreement are agreed upon with the auditors. The auditors' remuneration is subject to approval by the audit committee and the Board of Directors.

23 February 2017